Theories of Analytic Monads

Marek Zawadowski (joint work with Stanisław Szawiel)

University of Warsaw

PSSL 93, Cambridge, April 15, 2012



Monad = finitary monads on *Set* Morphism of monads = nat. transf. commuting with units and multiplications



Monad = finitary monads on Set Morphism of monads = nat. transf. commuting with units and multiplications Analytic Monad = functor part preserves weak wide pullbacks; unit and multiplication are weakly cartesian nat. transf. Morphism of analytic **monads** = weakly cartesian nat. transf. commuting with units

and multiplications



Monad = finitary monads on Set Morphism of monads = nat. transf. commuting with units and multiplications Analytic Monad = functor part preserves weak wide pullbacks; unit and multiplication are weakly cartesian nat. transf. Morphism of analytic **monads** = weakly

cartesian nat. transf. commuting with units and multiplications



Symmetric Operad = usual symmetric operad in *Set*



Symmetric Operad = usual symmetric operad in *Set*

Marek Zawadowski(joint work with Stanisław Szawiel) Theories of Analytic Monads

< □ > < 同 >

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



Polynomial Monad =

functor part preserves wide pullbacks; unit and multiplication are (weakly) cartesian nat. transf. **Morphisms of polynomial monads** = (weakly) cartesian nat. transf. commuting with units and multiplications

< 17 ▶

3 b. 4



Polynomial Monad = functor part preserves wide pullbacks; unit and multiplication are (weakly) cartesian nat. transf. Morphisms of polynomial monads = (weakly) cartesian nat. transf. commuting with

units and multiplications

< 一型



Rigid Operad = usual symmetric operad in *Set* + the actions of symmetric groups on operations are free (formerly operads with non-standard amalgamation of Hermida-Makkai-Power)

< 一型

Marek Zawadowski(joint work with Stanisław Szawiel) Theories of Analytic Monads



Rigid Operad = usual symmetric operad in *Set* + the actions of symmetric groups on operations are free (formerly operads with non-standard amalgamation of Hermida-Makkai-Power)

A B > A B >

< □ > < 同 >

Marek Zawadowski(joint work with Stanisław Szawiel) Theories of Analytic Monads



• \mathbb{F} - skeleton of the category of finite sets; $\underline{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$

A B + A B +

æ

- $\mathbb F$ skeleton of the category of finite sets; $\underline{n}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$
- \mathbb{F}^{op} the initial Lawvere theory

э

- $\mathbb F$ skeleton of the category of finite sets; $\underline{n}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$
- \mathbb{F}^{op} the initial Lawvere theory
- the unique morphism into another theory Lawvere theory

$$\pi: \mathbb{F}^{op} \to T$$

- $\mathbb F$ skeleton of the category of finite sets; $\underline{n}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$
- \mathbb{F}^{op} the initial Lawvere theory
- the unique morphism into another theory Lawvere theory

$$\pi: \mathbb{F}^{op} \to T$$

• Aut(n) is the set of automorphisms of n in T

- $\mathbb F$ skeleton of the category of finite sets; $\underline{n}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$
- \mathbb{F}^{op} the initial Lawvere theory
- the unique morphism into another theory Lawvere theory

$$\pi: \mathbb{F}^{op} \to T$$

- Aut(n) is the set of automorphisms of n in T
- We have functions

$$\rho_n: S_n \times Aut(1)^n \longrightarrow Aut(n)$$

such that

$$(\sigma, a_1, \ldots, a_n) \mapsto a_1 \times \ldots \times a_n \circ \pi_o$$

Simple automorphisms

We say that Lawvere theory T has simple automorphisms iff ρ_n is a bijection, for $n \in \omega$.

Simple automorphisms

We say that Lawvere theory T has simple automorphisms iff ρ_n is a bijection, for $n \in \omega$.

Structural morphisms

The class of *structural morphisms* in T is the closure under isomorphism of the image under π of all morphisms in \mathbb{F} .

Simple automorphisms

We say that Lawvere theory T has simple automorphisms iff ρ_n is a bijection, for $n \in \omega$.

Structural morphisms

The class of *structural morphisms* in T is the closure under isomorphism of the image under π of all morphisms in \mathbb{F} .

Analytic morphisms

A morphism in T is *analytic* iff it is right orthogonal to all structural morphisms.

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

• T has simple automorphisms;

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

- T has simple automorphisms;
- structural and analytic morphisms form a factorization system in *T*.

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

- T has simple automorphisms;
- structural and analytic morphisms form a factorization system in *T*.

Rigid Lawvere theory

Lawvere theory T is rigid iff

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

- T has simple automorphisms;
- structural and analytic morphisms form a factorization system in *T*.

Rigid Lawvere theory

Lawvere theory T is rigid iff

• T is analytic;

Lawvere theory T is analytic iff

- T has simple automorphisms;
- structural and analytic morphisms form a factorization system in *T*.

Rigid Lawvere theory

Lawvere theory T is *rigid* iff

- T is analytic;
- the actions of symmetric groups

 $S_n \times T(n,1) \rightarrow T(n,1)$

$$\langle \sigma, f \rangle \mapsto f \circ \pi_\sigma$$

are free on analytic morphisms.

An analytic interpretation of Lawvere theories $I : T \rightarrow T'$ is an interpretation of Lawvere theories that preserves analytic morphisms.

An analytic interpretation of Lawvere theories $I : T \rightarrow T'$ is an interpretation of Lawvere theories that preserves analytic morphisms.

Theorem

An analytic interpretation of Lawvere theories $I : T \rightarrow T'$ is an interpretation of Lawvere theories that preserves analytic morphisms.

Theorem

• The category of analytic Lawvere theories and analytic morphisms is equivalent the category of analytic monads.

An analytic interpretation of Lawvere theories $I : T \rightarrow T'$ is an interpretation of Lawvere theories that preserves analytic morphisms.

Theorem

- The category of analytic Lawvere theories and analytic morphisms is equivalent the category of analytic monads.
- The category of rigid Lawvere theories and analytic morphisms is equivalent the category of polynomial monads.

An analytic interpretation of Lawvere theories $I : T \rightarrow T'$ is an interpretation of Lawvere theories that preserves analytic morphisms.

Theorem

- The category of analytic Lawvere theories and analytic morphisms is equivalent the category of analytic monads.
- The category of rigid Lawvere theories and analytic morphisms is equivalent the category of polynomial monads.

Theorem

The embedding of the category of analytic Lawvere theories into all Lawvere theories has a right adjoint which is monadic.

Equational theories linear-regular theories

•
$$\vec{x}^n = x_1, \ldots, x_n$$

æ

•
$$\vec{x}^n = x_1, \ldots, x_n$$

A term in context

 $t: \vec{x}^n$

is *linear-regular* if every variable in \vec{x}^n occurs in t exactly once.

•
$$\vec{x}^n = x_1, \ldots, x_n$$

A term in context

 $t: \vec{x}^n$

is *linear-regular* if every variable in \vec{x}^n occurs in t exactly once.

An equation

 $s = t : \vec{x}^n$

is *linear-regular* iff both $s : \vec{x}^n$ and $t : \vec{x}^n$ are linear-regular terms in contexts.

•
$$\vec{x}^n = x_1, \ldots, x_n$$

A term in context

 $t: \vec{x}^n$

is *linear-regular* if every variable in \vec{x}^n occurs in t exactly once.

An equation

$$s = t : \vec{x}^n$$

is *linear-regular* iff both $s : \vec{x}^n$ and $t : \vec{x}^n$ are linear-regular terms in contexts.

Linear-regular theory

A an equational theory T is *linear-regular* iff it has a set of linear-regular axioms.

• A linear-regular term in context

$$t(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$
: \vec{x}^n

is flabby in T iff

$$T \vdash t(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = t(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) : \vec{x}^n$$
 for some $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma \neq id_n$.

æ

• A linear-regular term in context

$$t(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$
: \vec{x}^n

is flabby in T iff

$$T \vdash t(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = t(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n)}) : \vec{x}^n$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma \neq id_n$.

An example of a flabby term

In the theory T_{cm} of commutative monoids the term $x_1 \cdot x_2$ is flabby as

$$T \vdash x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_2 \cdot x_1$$

• A linear-regular term in context

$$t(x_1,\ldots,x_n):\vec{x}^n$$

is flabby in T iff

$$T \vdash t(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = t(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n)}) : \vec{x}^n$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma \neq id_n$.

An example of a flabby term

In the theory T_{cm} of commutative monoids the term $x_1 \cdot x_2$ is flabby as

$$T \vdash x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_2 \cdot x_1$$

Rigid theory

A an equational theory T is *rigid* iff it is linear-regular and has no flabby terms.

Marek Zawadowski(joint work with Stanisław Szawiel)

An interpretation of equational theories $I : T \to T'$ is *linear-regular* iff it interprets *n*-ary symbols *f* in *T* as linear-regular terms in contexts $t : \vec{x}^n$ in *T'*.

An interpretation of equational theories $I : T \to T'$ is *linear-regular* iff it interprets *n*-ary symbols *f* in *T* as linear-regular terms in contexts $t : \vec{x}^n$ in *T'*.

Theorem

An interpretation of equational theories $I : T \to T'$ is *linear-regular* iff it interprets *n*-ary symbols *f* in *T* as linear-regular terms in contexts $t : \vec{x}^n$ in *T'*.

Theorem

 The category of linear-regular theories and linear-regular interpretations is equivalent the category of analytic monads.

An interpretation of equational theories $I : T \to T'$ is *linear-regular* iff it interprets *n*-ary symbols *f* in *T* as linear-regular terms in contexts $t : \vec{x}^n$ in *T'*.

Theorem

- The category of linear-regular theories and linear-regular interpretations is equivalent the category of analytic monads.
- The category of rigid theories and linear-regular interpretations is equivalent the category of polynomial monads.

An interpretation of equational theories $I : T \to T'$ is *linear-regular* iff it interprets *n*-ary symbols *f* in *T* as linear-regular terms in contexts $t : \vec{x}^n$ in *T'*.

Theorem

- The category of linear-regular theories and linear-regular interpretations is equivalent the category of analytic monads.
- The category of rigid theories and linear-regular interpretations is equivalent the category of polynomial monads.

Theorem[M.Bojanczyk, S.Szawiel, M.Z.]

The problem whether a finite set of linear-regular axioms defines a rigid equational theory is undecidable.



Monoids

The theory of monoids has two operations \cdot and e, of arity 2 and 0, respectively, and equations

$$x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3)) = (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3, \quad x_1 \cdot e = x_1 = e \cdot x_1$$

글▶ 글



Monoids

The theory of monoids has two operations \cdot and e, of arity 2 and 0, respectively, and equations

$$(x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3)) = (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3, \quad x_1 \cdot e = x_1 = e \cdot x_1$$

By the form of these equations, this theory is strongly regular and hence rigid.

Monoids

The theory of monoids has two operations \cdot and e, of arity 2 and 0, respectively, and equations

$$(x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3)) = (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3, \quad x_1 \cdot e = x_1 = e \cdot x_1$$

By the form of these equations, this theory is strongly regular and hence rigid. In the Lawvere theory for monoids T_m a morphism

$$n \rightarrow 1$$

is analytic iff it is of form

$$\langle x_1, \ldots x_n \rangle \mapsto x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma(n)}$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$.

Monoids with anti-involution

The theory of monoids with anti-involution in a theory of monoids that has an additional unary operation s and additional two axiom

$$s(x_1) \cdot s(x_2) = s(x_2 \cdot x_1), \quad s(s(x_1)) = x_1$$

Monoids with anti-involution

The theory of monoids with anti-involution in a theory of monoids that has an additional unary operation s and additional two axiom

$$s(x_1) \cdot s(x_2) = s(x_2 \cdot x_1), \quad s(s(x_1)) = x_1$$

This theory is not strongly regular but it is not difficult to see that it is rigid.

Monoids with anti-involution

The theory of monoids with anti-involution in a theory of monoids that has an additional unary operation s and additional two axiom

$$s(x_1) \cdot s(x_2) = s(x_2 \cdot x_1), \quad s(s(x_1)) = x_1$$

This theory is not strongly regular but it is not difficult to see that it is rigid. In the Lawvere theory for monoids with anti-involution T_{mai} a morphism

$$n \rightarrow 1$$

is analytic iff it is of form

$$\langle x_1, \ldots x_n \rangle \mapsto s^{\varepsilon_n}(x_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot \ldots \cdot s^{\varepsilon_n}(x_{\sigma(n)})$$

for some $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

The theory of commutative monoids is the theory of monoids with an additional axiom

$$m(x_1,x_2)=m(x_2,x_1)$$

The theory of commutative monoids is the theory of monoids with an additional axiom

$$m(x_1,x_2)=m(x_2,x_1)$$

Thus is it linear-regular but it is obviously not rigid.

The theory of commutative monoids is the theory of monoids with an additional axiom

$$m(x_1,x_2)=m(x_2,x_1)$$

Thus is it linear-regular but it is obviously not rigid. In the Lawvere theory for commutative monoids T_{cm} there is exactly one analytic morphism

$$n \rightarrow 1$$

It is of form

$$\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle\mapsto x_1\cdot\ldots\cdot x_n$$

The theory of commutative monoids is the theory of monoids with an additional axiom

$$m(x_1,x_2)=m(x_2,x_1)$$

Thus is it linear-regular but it is obviously not rigid. In the Lawvere theory for commutative monoids T_{cm} there is exactly one analytic morphism

$$n \rightarrow 1$$

It is of form

$$\langle x_1, \ldots x_n \rangle \mapsto x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n$$

 T_{cm} is the terminal analytic Lawvere theory.

Categories of Equational Theories (again)



< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

æ

Thank you!

æ